

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/521,849	01/21/2005	Tobias Georg Tolle	DE 020184	6182		
24737 75	24737 7590 11/16/2006			EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BAISA, JOSELITO SASIS			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		2832			
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,849	TOLLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joselito Baisa	2832	

	Lammer	Art Onic				
	Joselito Baisa	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED on 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	-1'	Glad within has access	of the date of			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below. 		i E below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timely filed emendmy	ent concoling the			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by prior art meets the claim limitation.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	. 1				
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
		-NAD -				
	ELVIN	ENAU EXAMINER				
	THERVISORY P	AIEN				
	SUPER. 13N	0106				